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3. To determine the factors leading to the success of custome
service system chain by conducting a survey CSI and observations of 6 restaurants under Khaisilk Corporation.

## SIGNIFICANCE OF

 RESEARCHDetermine factors leading to the most
will be very useful for my future career Comprehending what customers seek at restaurant will help manager better understand their customer
Develop suitable strategies to attract customers in repeat purchase intention. Furthermore, the result of this study may show how to satisfy customers and maintain busines

## METHODS

|  | Part 1:9 multiple questions Frequency of customers |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Part 2: 7 multiple questions \& Likert scale from 1 to 5 Customers' expectations |
| In-depth interview in person | Part 3: 19 multiple questions Customers' experience: Restaurant environment \& service |
|  | Part 4: 3 open questions Customers' satisfaction |
|  | Part 5: Respondent's demographic |

## Part 1: to iden customers visit

customers visit
Part 2: Interviewees were requested to give their thoughts and feelings before they go to the restaurants. Likert scale the lowest
Part 3: to discover customers' experience Part 4: is about customer satisfaction. After trying service, they were satisfied with their dining experience Part 5: respondents' demographic variables: "Gender" "Marital status", "Nationality", "Age category", "Income" and "Employment category"


Table 2: Satisfactory attributes and Unsatisfactory attributes
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\hline & \text { Attributes } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Expected } \\ \text {-meals }\end{array} & & \text { Actual- } \\ \text { meals }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Mean } \\ \text { difference }\end{array}\right]$

## RESULTS

Table 1: Summary of demographic data

| Demographics | Features | Values (in <br> percent.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender | Female | 56.7 |
| Marital Status | Married | 63.33 |
| Nationality | Japanese | 60.0 |
| Age Category | $31-40$ | 33.33 |
| Monthly Income | $800-900$ | 25.0 |
|  | $950->1000$ | 25.0 |
|  | Management | 36.67 |
| Employment <br> category |  |  |

Table 3: Cross - tabulation of interview responses Overall guests dining experience attioutes


The 4 Summary the result of hypothest

| Hypotheses | Results |
| :---: | :---: |

Ha1 There is difference between Reject nul expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of quality of
service.

Ha2 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of food quality

Ha3 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of food price.
Ha4 There is difference between Accept null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of place

Ha5 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of cleanliness

Ha6 There is difference between Accept null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of convenience.

Ha7 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of waiting time

Ha8 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of ambience of the facilities

Ha9
There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of menu design and variety.

Ha10 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of responsiveness of front line employees.
Ha11 There is difference between Reject null expected-meals and actual- hypothesis meals in term of atmosphere

Ha12 There is a remarkable Reject null relationship between overall hypothesis variables of guest's dining experience attributes and their - repeat purchase intention.

## CONCLUSION

The research findings indicated that quality of service, food price, cleanliness, waiting time, ambience of the facilities, menu design and variety, food quality, responsiveness of fout customer satisfaction. These findings customer satisfaction. These findings
illustrated that there is a remarkable relationship between multiple variables of guest's dining experience and their repeat guests
purchase intention.
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