

Provisional Ratings Observation Process (PROP) Rating Requirements for Star Ratings 3 & 4

Due to COVID-19, onsite, in-person classroom assessments using Environmental Rating Scale (ERS) tools cannot occur. In an effort to continue ratings for center-based programs (non-Head Start; non-NAEYC), the Provisional Ratings Observation Process (PROP) was developed. Programs can apply for a PROP Rating 3 or 4. PROP ratings are facilitated by Grow NJ Kids Rating and your score will be determined by your center/school-wide average on the PROP components. PROP scores are determined by averaging points for each PROP component by program, and, as was the case in pre-COVID-19 circumstances, reviewing evidence of implementation of a selected research-based curriculum and the number of points you earn for documentation from meeting standards across all five categories. When Grow NJ Kids Rating can resume onsite, in-person observations, programs need to request observations within six months of this date, and will only need classroom observations to complete the ratings process (documentation and formal curriculum training will remain valid and will not need to be re-submitted). Once these onsite, in-person observations are completed and score requirements are met, then the ERS observation scores will replace the PROP components and the program will receive their official Star Rating 3 or 4 which is good for three years.

- Maximum PROP rating attainable is a Star Rating 3 or 4
- PROP Ratings are good for 1 year after onsite, in-person observations begin

Requirements for Star Ratings by Grow NJ Kids Rating			
Star Rating	PROP Components Point Averages	Implementation of Curriculum (GNJK Standard: 2.4.1)	Range of Points for required documentation
公公公	PROP for Preschool Program Average of 3.0 – 5.9 Points	Staff have attended minimum of 5 hours of training on selected research-based curriculum.	30-59
	PROP for Infant/Toddler Program Average of 3.5 – 4.9 Points		
公公公公	PROP for Preschool Program Average of 6.0 – 9.2 Points	Staff have attended minimum of 10 hours of training on selected researchbased curriculum.	60-85
	PROP for Infant/Toddler Program Average of 5.0 – 9.0 Points		

PROP Component Scoring: The scoring rubric for each component is included below to demonstrate how quality will be assessed at each level for each item. PROP averages are determined by averaging points for each PROP component by program using completed PROP packets and submitted photos and videos (videos are required for Star Rating 4 only).

PROP Components – Rubrics & Scores

Preschool / ECERS-3

PROP Component – Checklists – ECERS-3 Public Scoring Rubric				
	Checklists: Materials, Space & Furnishings; and Diversity			
Checklists	Good	Minimal	Inadequate	
	Max Total = 5 points	Max Total = 3 points	Max Total = 1 point	
Materials Checklist:	✓ Almost all checked items	✓ Some checked items meet	✓ Very few or no checked items	
Check-Box Items	meet ECERS-3 criteria	ECERS-3 criteria requirements	meet ECERS-3 criteria	
(Max Total = 5)	requirements and quantities	and quantities for each item	requirements and quantities for	
Space & Furnishings	for each item as appropriate	as appropriate to enrolled # of	each item as appropriate to	
Checklist:	to enrolled # of children (5)	children (3)	enrolled # of children (1)	
Check-Box Items				
(Max Total = 5)				
Diversity Checklist:	Diversity Only (+ 5)	Diversity Only (+ 3)	Diversity Only (+ 1)	
Check-Box Items + Open-	Open-Ended Questions	Open-Ended Questions	Open-Ended Questions	
ended questions	Max Total = 10	Max Total = 6	Max Total = 2	
(Max Total = 10)	✓ Almost all checked items	✓ Some checked items meet	✓ Very few or no checked items	
	meet ECERS-3 criteria	ECERS-3 criteria	meet ECERS-3 criteria	
	requirements; responses	requirements; responses	requirements; responses show	
	10401101110, 1000011000	requirements, responses	requirements, responses show	
	show <i>mostly</i> clear relation to	show <i>some</i> relation to	almost no clear relation to	
		· · ·	-	
	show <i>mostly</i> clear relation to	show some relation to	almost no clear relation to	
	show <i>mostly</i> clear relation to questions; <i>many</i> examples of	show <i>some</i> relation to questions; <i>some examples</i> of	almost no clear relation to questions; very few or no	
	show <i>mostly</i> clear relation to questions; <i>many</i> examples of teaching practice provided	show some relation to questions; some examples of teaching practice are provided	almost no clear relation to questions; very few or no examples of teaching practice	

PROP C	component – Star Ratings 3 8 Public	& 4 Interaction Self-Assess Scoring Rubric	ments – ECERS-3
Interactions Self- Assessments	Good (Max Average – 5 points)	Minimal (Max Average – 3 points)	Inadequate (Max Average – 1 point)
Star Rating 3 Interactions Self- Assessment (Max Total = 5)	✓ Responses show mostly clear relation to questions; many examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; many responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences. (5)	Responses show some relation to questions; some examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; some responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences. (3)	Responses show almost no clear relation to questions; very few or no examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; Very few responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences. (1)
Chan Dating 4	Good (Max Average – 10 points)	Minimal (Max Average – 5 points)	Inadequate (Max Average – 3 points)
Star Rating 4 Interactions Self- Assessment (Max Total = 10)	 ✓ Responses show mostly clear relation to questions; many examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; many responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences. (10) 	✓ Responses show some relation to questions; some examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; some responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences. (5)	Responses show almost no clear relation to questions; very few or no examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; Very few responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences. (3)

PROP Component – Daily Classroom Schedules – ECERS-3				
	Public Scoring Rubric			
Classroom Schedules	Good (Max Average – 5 points)	Minimal (Max Average – 3 points)	Inadequate (Max Average – 1 point)	
Daily classroom schedules Max Total = 5	✓ Almost all required daily routines that support learning and development occur within daily schedule and meet ECERS-3 criteria (e.g., time requirements met) (5)	✓ Some required daily routines that support learning and development occur within daily schedule and meet ECERS-3 criteria (e.g., time requirements met) (3)	✓ Very few to no required daily routines that support learning and development occur within daily schedule and meet ECERS-3 criteria (e.g., time requirements met) (1)	

PROP Component – Video Clips with Group Time – ECERS-3 Public Scoring Rubric					
	Star Rating 4 only				
Video Clips of Group Times	Good	Minimal (May Average 13 points)	Inadequate		
Self-taped video of Group Time Max Total = 20	 (Max Average – 20 points) ✓ Content: Content of video is interesting and developmentally appropriate to many children in group (5) 	 (Max Average – 12 points) ✓ Content: Content of video is interesting and developmentally appropriate to some children in group (3) 	 (Max Average – 4 points) ✓ Content: Content of video is interesting and developmentally appropriate to few or no children in group (1) 		
	 ✓ Child-Engagement: Many children engaged in group activity (appropriate to children in group) (5) 	 ✓ Child-Engagement: Most children engaged in group activity (appropriate to children in group) (3) 	 ✓ Child Engagement: A few children engaged in group activity (appropriate to children in group) (1) 		
	✓ Staff Interactions: <i>Many</i> positive interactions throughout group activity (e.g., provide support when needed; aware and responsive to child engagement; flexible) (5)	✓ Staff Interactions: <i>Some</i> positive interactions throughout group activity (e.g., provide support when needed; aware and responsive to child engagement; flexible) (3)	✓ Staff Interactions: Little or no positive interaction throughout group activity (e.g., provide support when needed; aware and responsive to child engagement; flexible) (1)		
	✓ Language: Staff frequently ask questions children are interested in answering; frequent use of and introduction of specific vocabulary throughout group activity (5)	✓ Language: Staff sometimes ask questions children are interested in answering; sometimes use and introduce specific vocabulary throughout group activity (3)	✓ Language: Staff ask few or no questions children are interested in answering; little or no use of and introduction of specific vocabulary throughout group activity (1)		

PROP Components – Rubrics & Scores Infant/Toddler – ITERS-3

PROP Component – Checklists - ITERS-3 Public Scoring Rubric				
	Checklists: Materi	als + Space & Furnishings		
Checklists	Good	Minimal	Inadequate	
	Max Total = 5 points	Max Total = 3 points	Max Total = 1 point	
Materials Checklist:	✓ Almost all checked items	✓ Some checked items meet	✓ Very few or no checked items	
Check-Box Items (Max Total = 5)	meet ITERS-3 criteria requirements and quantities	ITERS-3 criteria requirements and quantities for each item	meet ITERS-3 criteria requirements and quantities for	
Space & Furnishings Checklist: Check-Box Items (Max Total = 5)	for each item as appropriate to enrolled # of children (5)	as appropriate to enrolled # of children (3)	each item as appropriate to enrolled # of children (1)	

PROP Component – Star Ratings 3 & 4 Interaction Self-Assessments – ITERS-3			
	Public	Scoring Rubric	
Interactions Self- Assessments	Good (Max Average – 5 points)	Minimal (Max Average – 3 points)	Inadequate (Max Average – 1 point)
Star Rating 3 Interactions Self-Assessment (Max Total = 5)	✓ Responses show mostly clear relation to questions; many examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; many responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences (5)	✓ Responses show some relation to questions; some examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; some responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences (3)	Responses show almost no clear relation to questions; very few or no examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; Very few responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences (1)
Star Rating 4 Interactions Self-Assessment (Max Total = 10)	Good (Max Average – 10 points) ✓ Responses show mostly clear relation to questions; many examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; many responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences (10)	Minimal (Max Average – 5 points) ✓ Responses show some relation to questions; some examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; some responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences (5)	Inadequate (Max Average – 3 points) ✓ Responses show almost no clear relation to questions; very few or no examples of teaching practice are provided within responses; Very few responses are a minimum of 2-4 sentences (3)

PROP Component – Daily Classroom Schedules– ITERS-3			
	Public	c Scoring Rubric	
Classroom Schedules	Good	Minimal	Inadequate
	(Max Average – 5 points)	(Max Average – 3 points)	(Max Average – 1 point)
Daily classroom schedules Max Total = 5	✓ Almost all required daily routines that support learning and development occur within daily schedule and meet ITERS-3 criteria (e.g., time requirements met) (5)	✓ Some required daily routines that support learning and development occur within daily schedule and meet ITERS-3 criteria (e.g., time requirements met) (3)	✓ Very few to no required daily routines that support learning and development occur within daily schedule and meet ITERS-3 criteria (e.g., time requirements met) (1)

PROP Component – Video Clips with Group Time – ITERS-3 Public Scoring Rubric Star Rating 4 only			
Video Clip of Group Time	Good (Max Average – 20 points)	Minimal (Max Average – 12 points)	Inadequate (Max Average – 4 points)
Self-taped video of Group Time Max Total = 20	✓ Content: Content of video is interesting for and developmentally appropriate to many children in group (5)	✓ Content: Content of video is interesting for and developmentally appropriate to some children in group (3)	✓ Content: Content of video is interesting for and developmentally appropriate to few or no children in group (1)
	 ✓ Child-Engagement: Many children engaged in group activity (appropriate to children in group) (5) 	 ✓ Child-Engagement: Most children engaged in group activity (appropriate to children in group) (3) 	 ✓ Child Engagement: A few children engaged in group activity (appropriate to children in group) (1)
	✓ Staff Interactions: <i>Many</i> positive interactions throughout group activity (e.g., provide support when needed; aware and responsive to child engagement; flexible) (5)	✓ Staff Interactions: <i>Some</i> positive interactions throughout group activity (e.g., provide support when needed; aware and responsive to child engagement; flexible) (3)	✓ Staff Interactions: Little or no positive interaction throughout group activity (e.g., provide support when needed; aware and responsive to child engagement; flexible) (1)
	✓ Language: Staff frequently ask questions children are interested in answering; frequent use of and introduction of specific vocabulary throughout group activity (5)	✓ Language: Staff sometimes ask questions children are interested in answering; sometimes use and introduce specific vocabulary throughout group activity (3)	✓ Language: Staff ask few or no questions children are interested in answering; little or no use of and introduction of specific vocabulary throughout group activity (1)